

Heat Capacities of Gases

The heat capacity at **constant pressure** C_P is greater than the heat capacity at **constant volume** C_V , because when heat is added at constant pressure, the substance expands and work.

When heat is added to a gas at constant volume, we have

$$Q_V = C_V \Delta T = \Delta U + W = \Delta U$$

because no work is done. Therefore,

$$dU = C_V dT \quad \text{and} \quad C_V = \frac{dU}{dT} .$$

When heat is added at constant pressure, we have

$$Q_P = C_P \Delta T = \Delta U + W = \Delta U + P \Delta V .$$

For infinitesimal changes this becomes

$$C_P dT = dU + P dV = C_V dT + P dV .$$

From the ideal gas law, $P V = n R T$, we get for constant pressure

$$d(P V) = P dV + V dP = P dV = n R dT .$$

Substituting this in the previous equation gives

$$C_p dT = C_V dT + n R dT .$$

Dividing dT out, we get

$$C_P = C_V + n R .$$

For an ideal gas, the heat capacity at constant pressure is greater than that at constant volume by the amount $n R$.

For an ideal monatomic gas the internal energy consists of translational energy only,

$$U = \frac{3}{2} n R T .$$

The heat capacities are then

$$C_V = \frac{dU}{dT} = \frac{3}{2} n R$$

and

$$C_P = C_V + n R = \frac{5}{2} n R .$$

Heat Capacities and the Equipartition Theorem

Table 18-3 of Tipler-Mosca collects the heat capacities of various gases. Some agree well with the predictions for monatomic gases, but for others the heat capacities are greater than predicted. The reason is that such molecules can have other types of energy.

From the table we see that nitrogen, oxygen, hydrogen, and carbon monoxide all have molar heat capacities at constant volume close to

$$c' = \frac{5}{2} R .$$

Thus, these molecules appear to have **five degrees of freedom**. About 1880, Clausius proposed that these gases consist of diatomic molecules, which can rotate about two axes, see figure 18-14 of Tipler-Mosca. The kinetic energy of the molecule is then

$$K = \frac{1}{2} m v_x^2 + \frac{1}{2} m v_y^2 + \frac{1}{2} m v_z^2 + \frac{1}{2} I_{x'} \omega_{x'}^2 + \frac{1}{2} I_{y'} \omega_{y'}^2 .$$

According to the equipartition theorem

$$U = 5 \times \left(\frac{1}{2} n R T \right) = \frac{5}{2} n R T \Rightarrow C_V = \frac{5}{2} n R .$$

Quantum Effects

Apparently, a diatomic gas does not rotate about the axis joining the two atoms and monatomic gases do not rotate at all. These effects are explained by modern physics. Quantum mechanics confines the rotational levels to discrete energy values (Figure 7-15 of Tipler-Mosca shows the discrete energy levels for a quantized oscillator, which is a similar case). If

$$\Delta E \gg kT$$

holds, where ΔE is the typical difference between quantum energy levels, thermal fluctuations cannot excite them and the equipartition theorem fails. The equipartition theorem holds only for

$$kT \gg \Delta E .$$

There are huge differences of ΔE for the rotations of molecules. They are related to similar difference between the diameter of a single atom and the distance between two atoms in a molecule.

Heat Capacities of Solids

The metals listed in Table 18-1 of Tipler-Mosca have approximately equal molar specific heats of about

$$c' = 3R = 24.9 \text{ J/mol} \cdot \text{K} .$$

This result is known as the **Dulong-Petit law**, which can be understood by applying the equipartition theorem to a simple model for a solid. According to this model, a solid consists of a regular array of atoms which are connected by harmonic forces to their neighbors, see figure 18-15 of Tipler-Mosca.

Each atom can vibrate in the x , y , and z direction. Thus, the total energy of an atom in the solid is

$$E = \frac{1}{2} m (v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2) + \frac{1}{2} k_{\text{eff}} (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$$

where k_{eff} is the effective force constant of the oscillators. Each atom thus has six degrees of freedom and the equipartition theorem states

$$U = 6 \times \frac{1}{2} n R T \Rightarrow c' = 3R .$$

Experimental Determination of the Specific Heat of Solids

Remember the Lab!

Let us test some unknown metal of mass M .

1. Calorimeter of mass m and metal are of the same material:

$$C_{\text{metal}} M (T_{\text{metal}}^{\text{initial}} - T_{\text{metal}}^{\text{final}}) =$$
$$C_{\text{metal}} m (T_{\text{water}}^{\text{final}} - T_{\text{water}}^{\text{initial}}) + C_{\text{water}} M_{\text{water}} (T_{\text{water}}^{\text{final}} - T_{\text{water}}^{\text{initial}})$$

2. Calorimeter of mass m and metal are of the same material:

$$C_{\text{metal}} M (T_{\text{metal}}^{\text{initial}} - T_{\text{metal}}^{\text{final}}) =$$
$$C_{\text{calorimeter}} m (T_{\text{water}}^{\text{final}} - T_{\text{water}}^{\text{initial}}) + C_{\text{water}} M_{\text{water}} (T_{\text{water}}^{\text{final}} - T_{\text{water}}^{\text{initial}})$$

Quasi-static Adiabatic Expansion of a Gas

A process in which no heat flows in or out of the system is called **adiabatic**. This is achieved through thermal insulation of the system.

Figure 18-19 of Tipler-Mosca considers the quasi-static adiabatic expansion of an ideal gas ($T_1 \neq T_2$ now). We find the equation for the curve using the first law of thermodynamics and the equation of state. We have

$$0 = dQ = dU + dW = C_V dT + P dV .$$

Then, using $P = nRT/V$:

$$C_V dT + nRT \frac{dV}{V} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{dT}{T} + \frac{nR}{C_V} \frac{dV}{V} = 0 .$$

This can be written as

$$\frac{dT}{T} + (\gamma - 1) \frac{dV}{V} = 0$$

with $\gamma = C_P/C_V$, because

$$\gamma - 1 = \frac{C_P - C_V}{C_V} = \frac{nR}{C_V} .$$

Integration gives

$$\ln(T) + (\gamma - 1) \ln(V) = \text{constant} .$$

Using properties of the logarithm

$$\ln(T V^{\gamma-1}) = \text{constant} \quad \text{or} \quad T V^{\gamma-1} = \text{constant}' .$$

Using $nRT = PV$, the P versus V relationship follows:

$$P V^\gamma = \text{const}'' .$$

The work done by a gas in an adiabatic expansion follows from $dQ = 0$:

$$dW_{\text{adiabatic}} = -dU = -C_V dT$$

and then

$$W_{\text{adiabatic}} = -C_V \int dT = -C_V \Delta T .$$

The Second Law of Thermodynamics (Chapter 19)

How do we “conserve energy” when energy is always conserved according to the first law of thermodynamics? The answer is that some energy forms are more useful than others for the purpose of performing mechanical work. Based on **experimental observations**, this has been summarized by Kelvin into the following statement:

It is impossible to remove thermal energy from a system at a single temperature and convert it into mechanical work without changing the system or its surroundings in some other way (Kelvin).

A common example of the conversion of mechanical energy into heat (thermal energy) is a block sliding on a table. The reverse process – a table converting some of its thermal energy spontaneously into kinetic energy of the block – never occurs! Some processes are **irreversible**. This lack of symmetry is expressed in Clausius version of the second law of thermodynamics:

There can be no process whose only final result is to transfer thermal energy from a cooler object to a warmer one (Clausius).

Heat Engines

A **heat engine** is a **cyclic** device whose purpose is to convert as much heat input into work as possible. Heat engines contain a **working substance** that absorbs a quantity of heat Q_{in} , does work W , and gives off heat $|Q_{\text{out}}|$ ($Q_{\text{out}} < 0$ according to our sign convention) as it returns to the initial state.

Examples: Steam engines (figure 19-1), internal combustion gasoline engines (figures 19-2, 19-3).

Figure 19-4 shows a schematic representation of a **basic heat engine**. The engine removes heat energy $Q_{\text{in}} = Q_{\text{h}}$ from a hot reservoir at temperature T_{h} , does work W , and gives off heat $Q_{\text{c}} = Q_{\text{out}}$ to a cold reservoir at temperature T_{c} . According to the first law of thermodynamics the work done equals the net heat absorbed:

$$W = Q_{\text{in}} - |Q_{\text{out}}| .$$

The **efficiency** ϵ of a heat engine is the ratio of work done to the heat absorbed from the hot reservoir:

$$\epsilon = \frac{W}{Q_{\text{in}}} = \frac{Q_{\text{in}} - |Q_{\text{out}}|}{Q_{\text{in}}} = 1 - \frac{|Q_{\text{out}}|}{Q_{\text{in}}}.$$

The **heat engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics** is:

It is impossible to make a heat engine whose efficiency is 100%.

In other words, it is impossible for a heat engine working in a cycle to produce no other effect than that of extracting thermal energy from a reservoir and performing an equivalent amount of work.

This is equivalent to Kelvin's statement.

The best steam engines operate near 40% efficiency, the best internal combustion engines near 50%.

Refrigerators

A refrigerator is essentially a heat engine running backward. Figure 19-5 gives a schematic representation. The refrigerator removes heat energy $Q_{\text{in}} = Q_c$ from a cold reservoir and gives off heat $|Q_{\text{out}}| = |Q_h|$ to a hot reservoir using work W . (The sign convention of W is changed by a **minus sign** with respect to the heat engine.)

The refrigerator statement of the second law of thermodynamics is:

It is impossible for a refrigerator working in a cycle to produce no other effect than the transfer of thermal energy from a cold object to a warmer object.

This is equivalent to Clausius statement.

The **coefficient of performance** COP of a refrigerator is the ratio of the heat removed by the work used:

$$\text{COP} = \frac{Q_c}{W} .$$

The greater COP, the better the refrigerator. Typical values are between 5 and 6. The second law of thermodynamics says that COP cannot be infinite.

The heat engine and refrigerator statements are equivalent:

A perfect refrigerator could be used to transfer heat from a cold to a hot reservoir. This would allow to run an engine, which transfers some of the heat of the hot reservoir into work and the rest back to the cold reservoir.

A perfect heat engine can be used to transfer heat from a hot reservoir into work, which can be used by a refrigerator to cool a cold reservoir and to transfer the remaining work back to the hot reservoir.

The Carnot Engine

What is the maximum possible efficiency of an engine? This question was answered in 1824 by the French engineer Carnot, before either the first or the second law of thermodynamics had been established. The result is known as the **Carnot theorem**:

No engine working between two given heat reservoirs can be more efficient than a reversible engine working between these two reservoirs.

What makes a process **irreversible**? The conversion of mechanical energy into heat is not reversible, nor is the conduction of heat from a hot object to a cold one. A third type of irreversibility occurs when the system moves through non-equilibrium states, e.g., when there is a turbulence or a gas explodes. For a process to be reversible, we must be able to move it backward through the same equilibrium states in the reverse order. Therefore, for a process to be **reversible**:

1. No work must be dissipated into heat.
2. Heat conduction can only occur thermally.
3. The process must be quasi-static.

The **Carnot cycle**, depicted in figure 19-8, consists of **four reversible steps**:

1. A quasi-static isothermal absorption of heat from a hot reservoir.
2. A quasi-static adiabatic expansion to a lower temperature.
3. A quasi-static isothermal exhaustion of heat to a cold reservoir.
4. A quasi-static adiabatic compression back to the original state.

It can be shown that the efficiency

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{|Q_{\text{out}}|}{Q_{\text{in}}}$$

of a Carnot engine does not depend on the working substance. So, we use an ideal gas to calculate it. Since $\Delta U = 0$ during an isothermal expansion of an ideal gas, the heat absorbed during step 1 is

$$Q_{\text{in}} = W = \int_1^2 P dV = \int_1^2 \frac{n R T_{\text{h}}}{V} dV = n R T_{\text{h}} \ln \left(\frac{V_2}{V_1} \right) .$$

Similarly, the heat given off to the cold reservoir in step 3 is

$$|Q_{\text{out}}| = n R T_{\text{c}} \ln \left(\frac{V_3}{V_4} \right) .$$

The ratio of these heat energies is

$$\frac{|Q_{\text{out}}|}{Q_{\text{in}}} = \frac{T_c \ln(V_3/V_4)}{T_h \ln(V_2/V_1)}$$

The volumes (V_2, V_3) and (V_1, V_4) are related by the equation for a quasi-static adiabatic expansion:

$$T_h V_2^{\gamma-1} = T_c V_3^{\gamma-1} \quad \text{and} \quad T_h V_1^{\gamma-1} = T_c V_4^{\gamma-1} .$$

Dividing these two equations, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{V_2}{V_1}\right)^{\gamma-1} = \left(\frac{V_3}{V_4}\right)^{\gamma-1} .$$

Therefore, $\ln(V_2/V_1) = \ln(V_3/V_4)$ and we can cancel the logarithmic terms in the ratio of heat energies. The Carnot efficiency depends only on the temperatures of the two reservoirs

$$\epsilon_C = 1 - \frac{T_c}{T_h} .$$