

Main Reference } your book
 Bogoliubov & Shirkov, "Introduction to the theory of quantized fields"
 ("Noether's theorem and dynamical invariants",
 (Göbel))

Symmetries and conservation laws : Noether's theorem

"If the action functional (S) of a classical system of fields $q_i(x)$ is invariant under the action of a continuous group of transformations dependent on a finite number of parameters α_k , then the system admits n dynamical invariants, i.e. n conserved quantities (over time)".

→ The front of transformation acts ^{on each} ~~on both~~ coordinates and fields simultaneously.

For infinitesimal transformation:

$$x^M \rightarrow x'^M = x^M + \delta x^M \cong x^M + \sum_{k=1}^n x_{(k)}^M \alpha_k$$

$$q_i(x) \rightarrow q'_i(x') = q_i(x) + \delta q_i \cong q_i(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_{i(k)} \alpha_k$$

$\{\alpha_k\}$ → parameters

not x -dependent

Under this transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(q_i(x), \partial_\mu q_i(x), x) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}'(q'_i(x'), \partial_\mu q'_i(x'), x') \\ \mathcal{L}(x) &\quad \mathcal{L}'(x') \end{aligned}$$

We want to compute:

$$\delta S = \frac{dS}{d\alpha} d\alpha = S(\alpha) - S(0) = \int d^4 x' \mathcal{L}'(x') - \int d^4 x \mathcal{L}(x)$$

$$S(\alpha) = S(0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \left. \frac{dS}{d\alpha_k} \right|_{\alpha=0} + o(\alpha^2)$$

extremum: $\frac{d}{d\alpha} S(\alpha) = 0$

and see the consequence of setting: $\delta S = 0$

First of all :

Let's separate the variation due to δx^M from the variation due to δq_i

$$f'(x') = f(x) + \delta f(x) \quad \text{to } \delta q_i$$

$$= f(x) + \bar{\delta} f(x) + \frac{df(x)}{dx^M} \delta x^M$$

$$\bar{\delta} f(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \bar{\delta} q_i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)} \delta (\partial_\mu q_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial x^M} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)} \frac{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)}{\partial x^M}$$

$\bar{\delta} \rightarrow$ variation due only to change
of q_i not due to δx^M .
(variation is form of q_i , not
variation in its argument)

$$= \delta q_i - \partial_\nu q_i \delta x^\nu$$

$$\bar{\delta} q_i = q_i(x) - q_i(x)$$

$$\bar{\delta}(\partial^\nu q_i) = \partial^\nu(-\bar{\delta} q_i)$$

$$\bar{\delta} f(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \bar{\delta} q_i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)} \partial_\mu (\bar{\delta} q_i)$$

$$= \partial_\mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)} \bar{\delta} q_i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)} \partial_\mu (\bar{\delta} q_i)$$

$$= \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)} \bar{\delta} q_i \right)$$

So :

$$f'(x') = f(x) + \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)} \bar{\delta} q_i \right) + \frac{df(x)}{dx^M} \delta x^M$$

Motcorer :

$$d^4 x' = dx'_0 dx'_1 dx'_2 dx'_3 = 171 d^4 x =$$

$$= \det \left(\frac{\partial x'^M}{\partial x^0} \right) d^4 x \approx \left(1 + \frac{\partial \delta x^M}{\partial x^M} \right) d^4 x$$

$$\det(1 + \epsilon) = 1 + \text{Tr}(\epsilon)$$

(3)

so:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_S &= \int d^4x f'(x) - \int d^4x f(x) \\
 &= \int d^4x \left[f(x) + \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial^\mu q_i)} \bar{\epsilon} q_i \right) + \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^\mu} \bar{\epsilon} x^\mu \right] \left(1 + \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial q_i} \right) \\
 &\quad - \int d^4x f(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int d^4x \left[f(x) + \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial^\mu q_i)} \bar{\epsilon} q_i \right) + \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^\mu} \bar{\epsilon} x^\mu + \right. \\
 \left. f(x) \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^\mu} - f(x) \right]$$

$$= \int d^4x \left[\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial^\mu q_i)} \bar{\epsilon} q_i \right) + \partial_\mu \left(f(x) \bar{\epsilon} x^\mu \right) \right]$$

we can drop the term from below, since there are no more contributions.

$$= \int d^4x \partial_\mu \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial^\mu q_i)} \bar{\epsilon} q_i + f(x) \bar{\epsilon} x^\mu \right]$$

$$= - \sum_{k=1}^n \int d^4x \partial_\mu \left[-f(x) x^\mu - \frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial^\mu q_i)} \left(\partial_i q_i - \partial_\mu q_i \right) \bar{\epsilon} x^\mu \right] \bar{\epsilon}_k$$

$$= - \sum_{k=1}^n \int d^4x \partial_\mu f_{(k)}(x) \bar{\epsilon}_k$$

(6)

where:

$$\mathcal{F}_{(k)}^{\mu}(x) = -\mathcal{L}(x)X_{(k)}^{\mu} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} q_i)} \left(\dot{q}_i(x) - \partial_{\nu} q_i X_{(k)}^{\nu} \right)$$

so, finally:

$$\delta S = 0 \rightarrow - \sum_{k=1}^m \int d^4x \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{(k)}^{\mu}(x) \alpha_k = 0$$

since the $\{\alpha_k\}$ are independent:

$$\delta S = 0 \rightarrow \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha_k} = 0 \rightarrow \int d^4x \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{(k)}^{\mu}(x) = 0$$

and because of the arbitrariness of the integration region
this implies:

$$\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{(k)}^{\mu}(x) = 0 \quad k = 1, \dots, m$$

↳ m conserved currents

Moreover, for arbitrary space-like surfaces S_1 and S_2
we can rewrite:

$$\int d^4x \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{(k)}^{\mu}(x) = \int \underset{\Sigma}{dS_{\mu}} \mathcal{F}_{(k)}^{\mu}(x) = \int \underset{S_1}{dS_{\mu}} \mathcal{F}_{(k)}^{\mu} - \int \underset{S_2}{dS_{\mu}} \mathcal{F}_{(k)}^{\mu}$$

and

$$\int \underset{S}{dS_{\mu}} \mathcal{F}_{(k)}^{\mu}(x) = \text{constant}$$

choose $S(t_0)$; $t_0 = \text{constant}$

$$C_k(t_0) = \int d^3x \mathcal{F}_{(k)}^0(x) = \text{constant} \quad k = 1, \dots, m$$

↳ m conserved charges, "constant of motion"

the currents $\bar{f}_{(k)}^{\mu}(x)$ are defined up to an arbitrary term of the form:

$$\partial_{\nu} f_{(k)}^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \bar{f}_{(k)}^{\mu\nu} = -\bar{f}_{(k)}^{\nu\mu}$$

since then:

$$\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} f_{(k)}^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

(This goes back to the fact that the Lagrangian is defined up to a total 4-divergence).

1st example : Energy-momentum tensor / tensor

in infinitesimal

space translation: $x'^\mu = x^\mu + \alpha^\mu$

$$q_i'(x') = q_i(x)$$

$$\text{such that: } \delta x^\mu = \sum_{k=1}^m x_{(k)}^\mu \alpha_k \quad \text{with} \quad x_{(k)}^\mu = \delta^\mu_\nu$$

$$\bar{f} q_i = \sum_{k=1}^m \phi_{i(k)} \alpha_k \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_{i(k)} = 0$$

the converted currents are:

$$\bar{f}_{(k)}^{\mu}(x) = -f(x) \delta^\mu_\nu + \frac{\partial f}{\partial(\partial_\mu q_i)} \partial_\nu q_i$$

$$= -f(x) g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial(\partial_\mu q_i)} \partial^\nu q_i = T^{\mu\nu}$$

and the converted divergence:

"energy momentum tensor"

$$P^\mu = \int d^3x T^{\mu 0}, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad E = \int d^3x T^{00}$$

this is why we have picked the wrong (-) sign. $\left(= \frac{\partial f}{\partial(\partial_\mu q_i)} \partial^\mu q_i - f = H \right)$

and therefore the other time components etc \vec{P}

2nd example : Angular Momentum Tensor and spin tensor

$$\text{Lorentz} \quad x'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu$$

Transformation

$$q'_i(x') = L_{ij}(1) q_j(x)$$

↓
suitable representation
of the Lorentz group

For infinitesimal transformations, i.e. in the vicinity
of the identity (of the group):

$$x'^\mu = x^\mu + \sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k \Omega^\mu_{\nu(k)} x^\nu$$

$$q'_i(x') = q_i(x) + \sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k A_{ijk(k)} q_j(x)$$

where $\Omega^\mu_{\nu(k)}$ are the generators of the Lorentz group (6)
and α_k six independent parameters.

Consider:

$$\Omega^\mu_\nu = \sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k \Omega^\mu_{\nu(k)} \quad (\text{quenc element}) \quad \text{of the group}$$

Then we know

$$\text{that: } \Omega^\mu_q + q - I = 0$$

$$(\Omega^\mu_q)^H + q^{\mu\nu} + q^{\mu\ell} \Omega^\nu_\ell = 0$$

$$q^{\nu\ell} \Omega^\mu_\ell + q^{\mu\nu} \Omega^\nu_\ell = 0 \quad \Omega^\nu_\ell + \Omega^\mu_\ell = 0$$

$$\Omega^\nu_\ell = -\Omega^\mu_\ell$$

if we use the same Ω^μ_ν as infinitesimal parameters
of the transformation then:

$$x'^\mu = x^\mu + \Omega^{\mu\nu} x_\nu$$

and to match the

$$x'^\mu = x^\mu + \sum_k x^\mu_k \alpha_k$$

we need to $(k) \leftrightarrow [\mu\nu]$
in place antisymmetrization

use of 2:

$$x^M = x^M + \sum_{\ell < 5} x^M_{[\ell 5]} \Omega^{\ell 5}$$

then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\ell < 5} x^M_{[\ell 5]} \Omega^{\ell 5} &= -\Omega^{\mu\nu} x_\nu = \delta^\mu_\ell \Omega^{\ell 5} x_5 = \\
 &= \sum_{\ell < 5} \delta^\mu_\ell \Omega^{\ell 5} x_5 + \sum_{\ell > 5} \delta^\mu_\ell \Omega^{\ell 5} x_5 \\
 &= \sum_{\ell < 5} \delta^\mu_\ell \Omega^{\ell 5} x_5 - \sum_{\ell > 5} \delta^\mu_\ell \Omega^{\ell 5} x_5 \\
 &= \sum_{\ell < 5} \delta^\mu_\ell \Omega^{\ell 5} x_5 - \sum_{\ell < 5} \delta^\mu_5 \Omega^{\ell 5} x_\ell \\
 &= \sum_{\ell < 5} \underbrace{(\delta^\mu_\ell x_5 - \delta^\mu_5 x_\ell)}_{x^M_{[\ell 5]}} \Omega^{\ell 5}
 \end{aligned}$$

For δq_i is just:

$$A_{ij[kl]} \rightarrow A_{ij} [\ell 5]$$

they, the conserved currents are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^M_{[\ell 5]} &= -f(x) (\delta^\mu_\ell x_5 - \delta^\mu_5 x_\ell) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)} \left[A_{ij} [\ell 5] q_j(x) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \partial_r q_i (\delta^\nu_\ell x_5 - \delta^\nu_5 x_\ell) \right] \\
 &= \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)} \delta_\ell^r q_i - f(x) \delta^\mu_\ell \right) x_5 + \\
 &\quad \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)} \delta_5^r q_i - f(x) \delta^\mu_5 \right) x_\ell + \\
 &\quad - \frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_\mu q_i)} A_{ij} [\ell 5] q_j(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

We recognize in (\cdot) the energy-momentum tensor.

So, the new conserved currents look like:

$$M^H_{[15]} = \underbrace{T^H_{\ell} x_5 - T^H_5 x_\ell}_{\text{orbital angular momentum}} - \frac{\partial t}{\partial (x_i c_i)} A_{ij} [15] q_j(x) \underbrace{A_{ij} [15] q_j(x)}_{\text{spin angular momentum}}$$

s.t. $\partial_\mu M^H_{[15]}(x) = 0$

The corresponding conserved charges etc:

$$M^{(t)}_{[15]} = \int dx^3 M^0_{[15]}(x) \rightarrow \text{angular momentum tensor}$$

$t = \text{const.}$

$(T^H_{\ell} x_5 - T^H_5 x_\ell) \rightarrow$ depends only on the coordinates

$$S^H_{[15]} = \left(- \frac{\partial t}{\partial (x_i c_i)} A_{ij} [15] q_j(x) \right) \rightarrow \text{intertial degrees of freedom}$$

(i.e. Lorentz properties of the field c_i)

ex: scalar field $\rightarrow A_{ij} [15] = 0 \rightarrow$ only orbital ang. momentum.

Ex. 3: The same can be repeated for any internal symmetry of the fields $\{c_i(x)\}$, i.e. a symmetry that acts only on the field. We'll see examples later on.